

Subrogation and the Heart and Lung Act as it Pertains to Workers' Comp Case

In a case of first impression of *Oliver v. City of Pittsburgh, Pa.*, the Supreme Court held that an employer has no subrogation claim against a civil settlement to recover the benefits it paid a claimant under the Pennsylvania Heart and Lung Act (HLA). The Court reasoned that since Act 44 does not mention HLA, it is not subject to the subrogation that it outlines.